

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/886,261	06/22/2001	Chiharu Kumaki	Q64397	5547
7590 09/07/2006			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			KESACK, DANIEL	
	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037			3624	,
		DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/886,261 Examiner	KUMAKI, CHIHARU Art Unit				
	Examiner Dan Kesack	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	L					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 June 2001</u> .					
,-	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to	or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	 C.	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. Original claims 1-12 are currently pending.
 The rejections are as stated below.

Drawings

2. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "processing means for automatically pays" is grammatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Specifically, the repeated use of the word "bankbook" renders the claim indefinite because the embodiment or form of the claimed "bankbook" is unclear from the claim language. In claim 1, the bankbook has an account amount, from which funds are paid, and the bankbook displays records of the commercial transaction. Claim 2 recites, "a card is issued while entrusting a bankbook provided by a financial agency," and it is unclear what is meant by "entrusting a bankbook." Furthermore, claims 7-12 recite "showing the bankbook". Examiner notes that if the claimed bankbook is a transaction registry type embodiment, funds are paid from the associated bank account and not the bankbook; likewise if the claimed bankbook represents the bank account, then it is unclear what is meant by "entrusting the bankbook" and it is unclear how one is to "show the bankbook" as required by claims 7-12.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,261

Art Unit: 3624

Also, the phrase "saving money" as used in claims 7-12 renders the claims indefinite. It is unclear whether the phrase refers to the act of saving money, or to money held in an account, for example a bank savings account.

For examination purposes, the claimed "bankbook" has been interpreted to be generally referring to a bank account, and "saving money" has been interpreted as being money held in an account.

The term "in the range of" in claim 6 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear what would be considered "in the range of 5,000 dollars". The term "in the range of" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Additionally, claims 1-5 are indefinite because it is unclear whether the "system" of the claims is a method or an apparatus.

For example, claim 1 appears to be drawn to an apparatus, because the claim recites that the system is composed of a financial agency and a goods/service provider. However, the claim is subsequently drawn to method steps of issuing, automatically paying, and displaying. Likewise claims 2-5 are unclear as to whether the claimed invention is an apparatus or a method.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen, U.S. Patent No. 6,422,462.

Claims 1-12, Cohen teaches a system and method for debit card transactions, wherein the one or more debit cards are issued, having maximum usable amounts set by the user, and where the maximum usable amount can be on a per card basis, or can be a maximum usable amount over a plurality of cards issued. Cohen fails to teach merchants being issued funds from the account of the debit card user, funds being drawn from bank accounts upon a debit card transaction, and an increase in the usable amount upon an increase of funds in the user's bank account.

Art Unit: 3624

The features which Cohen fails to teach are old and well known in the art, and are features which define the operation of a debit card. Debit cards are old and well known in the art, and Cohen teaches the use of a debit card. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Cohen to include the basic operation of a debit card.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Kesack whose telephone number is 571-272-5882.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines I Mills